

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 02 2004

FROM**Manelli Denison & Selter, PLLC**
Customer No. 20736
Telephone: (202) 261-1000**URGENT**

Our Facsimile #: (202) 887-0336


FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**TO: UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**
OFFICE OF PETITIONS**Facsimile No.: 703-872-9306**No. Pages (Including this page) 25 FAX Opr: ejs**IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE CLEARLY ALL PAGES, PLEASE CONTACT US IMMEDIATELY**By Telephone **AT: (202) 261-1014** (local)-->>> **USPTO:****PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE CLEAR RECEIPT OF ALL PAGES
INDICATED ABOVE BY FAXING THIS PAGE BACK TO
ONE OF OUR FACSIMILE NUMBERS STATED ABOVE**In re PATENT APPLICATION of
Wolfe

Group Art Unit: 2782

Appln. No. 09 /606,692

Examiner: Phan, Man

Filed: June 30, 2000

Atty. Dkt. 95-430TITLE: Scalable Voice IP System Providing Independent Call Bridging For Outbound Calls
Initiated By User Interface ApplicationDate: December 2, 2004**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office
on the date shown below.Name Edward J. Stemberger Sig. 
Reg. No. 36017Date 2 Dec 04

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Docket No.: 95-430

PATENT

DEC 02 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

CRAIG, et al.

Serial No.: 09/606,692

Group Art Unit: 2782

Filed: June 30, 2000

Examiner: Phan, Man

For: SCALABLE VOICE OVER IP SYSTEM PROVIDING INDEPENDENT CALL
BRIDGING FOR OUTBOUND CALLS INITIATED BY USER INTERFACE
APPLICATIONS

LETTER

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:


A Response under Rule 116 was filed on June 21, 2004 in response to the final Office Action of April 19, 2004. No Advisory Action was received by Applicant so Applicant filed a Rule 116 Amendment placing allowable claims in condition for allowance on October 19, 2004. A Notice of Appeal was also filed on October 19, 2004 to keep the application pending.

The Examiner was notified that no Advisory Action was received and he indicated he could not act on the application since the Rule 116 Response was not scanned into the Image File Wrapper system of the USPTO. A courtesy copy of the Rule 116 Response was faxed to the Examiner on October 7, 2004.

09/606,692—CRAIG et al.

Thus, enclosed is a copy of the above-mentioned Rule 116 Response and Rule 116 Amendment along with the associated stamped PTO receipt. Applicant requests entry of the 116 Amendment and issuance of a Notice of Allowance by December 19, 2004 to avoid the need to file a Brief.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward J. Stemberger
Registration No. 36,017

Customer No. 23164
(202) 261-1014
Facsimile (202) 887-0336
Date: December 2, 2004

RECEIPT FROM PTO FOR INDICATED ITEMS(Do **NOT** Use for New or Continuing Applications of Any Kind)

Use 2 postcards for all New Applns. (Cont/Div/CIP, too)

Application No. 09/606,692	Attny: Stemberger
First Inventor: Craig	Date: June 21, 2004
	Matter No: 95-430
	Client No:

ENCLOSED:☒ Response☒ Cover Sheet☐ Cited/Listed☐ Completion Request for R 53(f)# ☐ No. of Pages Abstract# ☐ No. of Pages Spec and Claims# ☐ No. of Numbered Claims Only# ☐ No. of Sheets of☐ 1 Set ☐ 1 Set formal ☐ Cover Letter☐ Declaration ☐ # of pages☐ Assignment ☐ Cover Sheet# ☐ No. of Priority Documents☐ IDS including PTO-SB/08A☐ Cited ref. ☐ Search Report☐ Issue Fee Transmittal Form PTOL-85(b) + (c)

\$

0


OTHER:

Current DUE DATE: June 21, 2004 = Monday

(Submit Single Copy Only)



Form: PTO/SB/17 (1/04)

REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL		Attorney Docket No.	95-430		
		Application Number	09/606,692		
		Filing Date	June 30, 2000		
		First Named Inventor	Craig		
		Group Art Unit	2665		
AMOUNT ENCLOSED	\$ 0	Examiner Name	Phan, Man, U		
FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 10/01/04)					
CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	40 (1)	40 (2) =	-- (3)	X \$18.00 =	\$ 0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	4 (4)	4 (5) =	- (6)	X \$86.00 =	\$ 0
Since an Official Action set an <u>original</u> due date of <u>Sat. June 19, 2004</u> , petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$110); 2 months (\$410); 3 months (\$950); 4 months (\$1,480); 5 months (\$2,010)):					\$ 0
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)					\$ 0
Total of above Calculations =					\$ 0
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)					
Information Disclosure Fee =					
TOTAL FEES DUE =					\$ 0
<small>(1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0". (2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20". (4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0". (5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".</small>					
METHOD OF PAYMENT					
<input type="checkbox"/> Check enclosed as payment.					
<input type="checkbox"/> Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No., below.					
AUTHORIZATION					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 necessary to maintain pendency of the present application to:					
Deposit Account No.		50-1130		under order No. 95-430	
Deposit Account Name		Leon R Turkevich			
SUBMITTED BY: - CUSTOMER NO. 23164					
Typed Name	Edward J. Stemberger			Reg. No.	36,017
Signature				Date	Monday, June 21, 2004

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 02 2004

Docket No.: 95-430

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

CRAIG, et al.

Serial No.: 09/606,692

Group Art Unit: 2782

Filed: June 30, 2000

Examiner: Phan, Man

For: SCALABLE VOICE OVER IP SYSTEM PROVIDING INDEPENDENT CALL
BRIDGING FOR OUTBOUND CALLS INITIATED BY USER INTERFACE
APPLICATIONS

RESPONSE UNDER RULE 116

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the final Official Action dated April 19, 2004, please enter the following

Remarks: